Depressive symptoms enhance stress-induced inflammatory responses
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Abstract
Depression is a risk factor for morbidity and mortality, and immune dysregulation may be partially responsible for this link. Proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 6 (IL-6) are reliable predictors of quality of life, morbidity, and many causes of mortality. The current study evaluated relationships between depressive symptoms, as assessed by the CES-D, and stress-induced inflammation. The participants, 138 healthy adults, were evaluated at rest, and after a standardized laboratory stressor and mental arithmetic stressor. Compared with individuals with fewer depressive symptoms, those with more depressive symptoms produced more IL-6 in response to the stressor, as well as significantly higher levels of IL-6 both 45 min and 2 h after the stressor. These findings add to our emerging understanding of the complex interactions among stress, depression, and immune dysregulation, and provide one potential pathway to explain relationships between depressive symptoms and disease.

1. Introduction
Depression is a risk factor for morbidity and mortality (Rovner et al., 1991; Wulsin et al., 1999). Both major depression and subthreshold depressive symptoms have been linked to many diseases of older adulthood (Bush et al., 2001; Frasure-Smith et al., 2009; Pan et al., 2011; Satin et al., 2009; Wouts et al., 2008). Immune dysregulation may partially contribute to these links. Inflammation is a key immunological mechanism that promotes many age-related diseases including diabetes, certain cancers, cardiovascular disorders, frailty and mortality (Maggio et al., 2006). In this study, we examined how depressive symptoms interact with acute stress to induce proinflammatory cytokine production.

Acute stress produces transient increases in systemic inflammation. Stress-induced elevations in inflammation have been detected among medical students preparing for an academic exam, individuals giving an oral presentation, and couples engaging in a marital disagreement (Heinz et al., 2003; Kiecolt-Glaser et al., 2005; Maes et al., 1998). Standardized experimental performance tasks, such as the Trier Social Stress Test (TSST), promote reliable elevations in interleukin-6 (IL-6) (Carpenter et al., 2010; Pace et al., 2006; Steptoe et al., 2007). These stress-induced inflammatory responses may vary in magnitude between individuals.

Prior stress and depression may enhance stress-induced inflammatory rises by sensitizing the inflammatory response to stress (Glaser et al., 2003). For example, rats that were previously exposed to inescapable tailshock produced larger inflammatory responses to bacterial endotoxin than controls that were not shocked (Johnson et al., 2002). Studies in humans provide preliminary evidence that depression may promote greater stress-induced increases in circulating IL-6. In a study comparing 14 males with a history of early life stress and major depression with 14 controls, major depression patients with early life stress produced a more pronounced plasma IL-6 response to the TSST than controls (Pace et al., 2006). In another study 16 women with a lifetime history of depression showed greater IL-6 increases after giving birth than 50 women without a history of depression (Maes et al., 2001). These findings provide initial evidence that major depression may enhance systemic inflammation. However, sample size was limited in both studies, and we do not know if these findings extend to those with depressive symptoms more generally.

Minor elevations in depressive symptoms are associated with higher baseline levels of proinflammatory cytokine production.
(Lutgendorf et al., 1999). Furthermore, in a community sample of older adults, those with more depressive symptoms had a greater IL-6 increase after an annual influenza vaccination compared to those with fewer symptoms, suggesting that even modest elevations in depressive symptoms may sensitize the inflammatory response (Glaser et al., 2003). The current study sought to extend work demonstrating that those with major depression have more pronounced IL-6 elevations in response to a stressor by examining whether stress-induced IL-6 responses are exaggerated among individuals with more depressive symptoms compared with fewer depressive symptoms.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

The study data were drawn from the baseline sample of a clinical trial addressing the potential benefits of fish oil. Participants were recruited through advertisements, brochures, and media announcements in the local community. Screening exclusions included a prior history of cancer (except basal or squamous cell), diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, autoimmune disease, evidence of liver or kidney failure, symptomatic ischemic heart disease, GERD, ulcerative colitis, smoking status, excessively high triglycerides or LDL cholesterol, more than 3 h a week of vigorous physical exercise, and a body mass index (BMI) above 40. Individuals could not participate if they were taking medications for depression, anxiety, cholesterol, or blood pressure. The Ohio State Biomedical Research Review Committee approved the project; all subjects gave written informed consent prior to participation.

2.2. Procedure

When participants arrived at the Clinical Research Center (a hospital research unit) at 7:45 a.m., a catheter was inserted in their arm. Once they had eaten a standardized breakfast (after fasting since midnight) and completed questionnaires (approximately since midnight), they sat quietly in a chair for 20 min. At the end this relaxation period, blood was drawn to assess baseline IL-6 levels.

Next, subjects participated in the Trier Social Stress Test, a well validated stressor (Kirschbaum et al., 1993). Participants were told they would deliver a speech in front of a committee of behavioral experts, and were briefly brought into the room to view the committee’s temporary panel of two individuals wearing white laboratory coats. While seated, participants gave their 5-min speech and then performed mental arithmetic serial subtraction tasks for 5 min in front of this panel. Then, 45 min post-stressor, another blood sample was drawn, followed by another blood draw 2 h after the stressor.

2.3. Measures

The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) was used as a measure of depressive symptoms. It has been used extensively as a brief measure of depressive symptomatology (Basco et al., 1997; Radloff, 1977). Studies have shown acceptable test–retest reliability and excellent construct validity (Basco et al., 1997).

We assessed major depression with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV, nonpatient version (SCID-NP) (First et al., 1995) Inter-rater reliability for SCID-NP diagnoses were calculated using randomly selected audiotapes for 20% of the participants. This substantial interrater agreement was confirmed with Mc Nemar’s test for marginal proportions \( p > 0.99 \) for all diagnoses.

The Childhood Trauma Questionnaire provided data on early childhood abuse and neglect. Widely used, it has excellent normative data for its five scales: Physical, Sexual, and Emotional Abuse, and Physical and Emotional Neglect (Bernstein and Fink, 1998). We adopted the Walker cuts (Walker et al., 1999) to make categorical cut-offs (with sensitivity and specificity >.85 for each scale). Then, we created a categorical indicator variable representing any maltreatment (exceeding \( \geq CTQ \) cut point threshold) (Walker et al., 1999).

We used the Beck Anxiety Inventory to assess both cognitive and physiological symptoms (Beck et al., 1988). This scale has been shown to have sound psychometric properties such as factorial validity, internal consistency, and test–retest stability.

Whole blood was drawn into a BD vacutainer serum tube (Becton–Dickinson, New Jersey) and allowed to clot at room temperature for 30 min. Serum tubes were then centrifuged for 10 min at 2700 rpm. Serum was stored at –86 °C until assayed in duplicate levels for IL-6 cytokine using MSD 96-well Multiplex Custom Cytokine Kits (Meso Scale Discovery, Maryland). Plates were read using an MSD Sector Imager 2400. A four parameter logistic fit standard curve was derived using the MSD Software and IL-6 concentrations were extrapolated from the standard curve. The IL-6 sensitivity was 0.26 pg/ml. The CV for intra-assay precision had a range of 5.64–6.70%. The CV for inter-assay precision had a range of 5.16–10.2%.

2.4. Analytic method

All analyses were run using mixed models regression and restricted maximum likelihood estimation. IL-6 was log transformed before analysis. We adjusted for key potential confounds including age, BMI, and sex. We examined residuals from all analyses to confirm that they were distributed normally.

We hypothesized that individuals who had more depressive symptoms would have greater increased IL-6 in response to the stressor than those with fewer depressive symptoms. We ran a repeated measures analysis with depressive symptoms modeled as a continuous variable to test this hypothesis. There was considerable variability in correlations over the three segments. Hence, we employed an unstructured within-subjects covariance matrix for all repeated measures analyses. The Kenward–Roger option was used to correct the degrees of freedom in the model, which brought Type I errors rates back to the nominal level. All tests used a two-sided, \( \alpha = 0.05 \) significance level.

3. Results

Table 1 reports descriptive information for the participants. Table 2 summarizes the results of the repeated measures analysis that assessed whether changes in IL-6 over time differed depending on depressive symptoms.

Overall, IL-6 increased in response to the Trier Social Stress Test. There was a significant interaction between depressive symptoms and time. Compared to those with fewer depressive symptoms, individuals with more depressive symptoms had a greater IL-6 response to the Trier Social Stress Test. Specifically, individuals with more depressive symptoms displayed greater increases in IL-6 from baseline to 45 min post-stressor and baseline to 2 h post-stressor (Fig. 1). Depressive symptoms were unrelated to baseline levels of IL-6. However, those who had more depressive symptoms had significantly higher IL-6 levels both 45 min post-stressor and 2 h post-stressor than those with fewer depressive symptoms. We ran an additional analysis controlling for baseline levels of...
IL-6, and all significance levels remained the same. In sum, those who had more depressive symptoms had greater IL-6 increases in response to the stressor and higher levels of IL-6 after the stressor than those with fewer depressive symptoms.

### 4. Discussion

Individuals with more depressive symptoms had larger stress-induced increases in IL-6 to a standardized laboratory speech and mental arithmetic stressor. They also had significantly higher levels of IL-6 after the stressor than those with fewer depressive symptoms. With a considerably larger sample, this study extends work linking major depression to greater stress-induced increases in IL-6 by demonstrating that those who have more depressive symptoms show an exaggerated IL-6 response to a laboratory stressor.

Depression has been linked to premature mortality among those with cancer, heart disease, stroke, and diabetes (Bush et al., 2001; Frasure-Smith et al., 2009; Pan et al., 2011; Satin et al., 2009; Wouts et al., 2008). Elevated inflammation is a risk factor for these diseases (De Martinis et al., 2006; Maggio et al., 2006). Accordingly, these findings may provide one potential pathway to explain relationships between depressive symptoms and morbidity and premature mortality.

Proinflammatory cytokines can act on the brain to induce sickness behaviors (Ahles et al., 2002; Bower et al., 2002; Luecken et al., 2009; Papanicolaou et al., 1998). Although there is ample evidence that depressive symptoms can elevate inflammation, there is also considerable evidence that inflammation contributes to depressive symptoms (Miller et al., 2009; Raison et al., 2006). The association between inflammation and depressive symptoms has been found in a variety of different populations (Alesci et al., 2005; Bouhuys et al., 2004; Miller et al., 2002; Musselman et al., 2009; Wouts et al., 2008). Elevated inflammation is a risk factor for these diseases (De Martinis et al., 2006; Maggio et al., 2006). Accordingly, these findings may provide one potential pathway to explain relationships between depressive symptoms and morbidity and premature mortality.

Mechanistically, both autonomic and neuroendocrine function may promote stress-induced inflammation. Norepinephrine enhances proinflammatory cytokines by inducing nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) transcription, an intracellular signaling molecule that regulates proinflammatory cytokine gene expression (Bierhaus et al., 2003; Straub and Härle, 2005). Furthermore, higher levels of parasympathetic activity can reduce inflammation via the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway that induces acetylcholine release (Tracey, 2009).

Our sample consisted of healthy adults. Accordingly, we do not know if these findings could be detected among those with chronic diseases. Furthermore, our sample was predominately college educated and white. Future studies that are more diverse are needed in order to ensure our findings generalize across different ethnic and socioeconomic groups. Finally, the type of stressor we used may have influenced the results. The stressor we imposed evokes negative self-evaluation (Kirschbaum et al., 1993), which may be particularly stressful for those with more depressive symptoms (Giesler et al., 1996). In future work, it would be interesting to see if other types of acute stressors elicit similar inflammatory responses.

In sum, those with more depressive symptoms exhibited enhanced inflammation to a stressor compared with those with fewer depressive symptoms. Accordingly, depressive symptoms may enhance the stress response system in ways that promote excessive inflammation. These findings add to our emerging understanding of the complex interactions among stress, depression, and immune dysregulation.

### Table 1
Sample Population Characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total (N = 138)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.59 ± 4.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>51.04 ± 7.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log IL-6</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESD</td>
<td>7.58 ± 8.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2
Interleukin 6 across time based on CES-D scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Time point</th>
<th>Log IL-6 Estimate</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CESD total score</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post stress</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post stress 2 h</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change per unit depression</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope change per unit depression across time points</td>
<td>Baseline to 2 h</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline to 2 h</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 min to 2 h</td>
<td>−0.002</td>
<td>0.757</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Mean (±SEM) IL-6 across experimental periods in people with more and fewer depressive symptoms based on the 75th and 25th percentile scores on the CES-D. The 25% represents a score of “2” on the CES-D and the 75% represents a score of “10”. These are both well below the clinical threshold for depression, which is “16”.
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